

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION N		
10/047,698	01/18/2002	Seizo Suzuki	217784US2 3808		
22850	7590 08/02/2004		EXAMINER		
•	PIVAK, MCCLELLA	ALLEN, DENISE S			
1940 DUKE ALEXANDI	RIA, VA 22314	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	•			.	
			DATE MAILED: 08/02/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Applicat	ion No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary			98	SUZUKI, SEIZO				
			r	Art Unit				
		Denise S	Allen	2872				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communic or Reply	cation appears on th	e cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC nsions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of the provided provided above is less than thirty (30) period for reply specified above, the maximum stature to reply within the set or extended period for reply verify received by the Office later than three months afted patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no eurication.) days, a reply within the statutory period will apply and will, by statute, cause the applying the course of the statute.	vent, however, may a reply be tim tuttory minimum of thirty (30) days will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from plication to become ABANDONE!	nely filed s will be considered timel the mailing date of this co D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed	d on <u>30 March 2004</u>	<u>ļ</u> .					
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.							
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
5)	Claim(s) <u>1-62</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>8-11,19-35 and 43-62</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-7,12-18 and 36-42</u> is/are rejected.							
8) 🗌	Claim(s) are subject to restrict	tion and/or election	requirement.					
	ion Papers							
,	The specification is objected to by the The drawing(s) filed on 18 January 20		<u>2004</u> is/are: a)⊠ accep	oted or b)∏ object	ted to by the			
LAAIIIIIC	Applicant may not request that any objec	tion to the drawing(s)	be held in abevance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including The oath or declaration is objected to	the correction is requ	ired if the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 Cl				
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
•	Acknowledgment is made of a claim f ☑ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:)-(d) or (f).				
	1. Certified copies of the priority of							
	2. Certified copies of the priority of				Stogo			
	 Copies of the certified copies of application from the Internation 	•		eu III tilis ivational	Stage			
* (See the attached detailed Office action	•	•	ed.				
			·					
Attachmer	•••		. □	(DTO 410)				
2) Notice 3) Infor	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or leave) or No(s)/Mail Date		4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:		O-152)			

Page 2

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

The information disclosure statements (IDS) submitted on May 23 and November 7, 2003, and March 24, May 10, June 18, and July 9, 2004 did not include forms PTO-1449. Accordingly, it is noted here that the references listed in the information disclosure statements have been considered by the examiner.

Oath/Declaration

In light of the Application Data Sheet submitted by the Applicant on March 30, 2004, the objection to the declaration in the Office Action dated December 30, 2003 is withdrawn.

Drawings

The replacement drawings were received on March 30, 2004 and are acceptable to the examiner.

In light of the replacement drawings, the objection to the drawings in the Office Action on December 30, 2003 has been withdrawn.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 12, and 36 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/047,698

Art Unit: 2872

Claims 1 - 7, 12 - 18, and 36 - 42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Aoki et al (JP 11-38348).

Regarding claims 1, 12, and 36, Aoki et al teaches a scanning image formation optical system (Figure 9 references 7' and 8) for use in an optical scanner (Figure 9) for scanning a scanned surface (reference 10) for one or more scanning lines by causing one or more coupled luminous fluxes from a light source (Figure 1 reference 1) to be incident on a deflecting reflective surface of a rotating optical deflector (reference 6), which rotates around a rotary axis of the optical deflector, said rotary axis being parallel to the deflecting reflective surface, diagonally deflecting the luminous fluxes relative to a surface perpendicular to the rotary axis of the optical deflector (Figure 1), and converging the deflected luminous fluxes toward the scanned surface by the scanning image formation optical system so as to form an optical spot on the scanned surface (Figure 9), the scanning image forming optical system comprising one special tilt surface (reference 8), said tilt surface formed such that a tilt amount of a sub-scanning cross-sectional configuration changes in a main scanning direction (Figure 10). Aoki et al does not teach the scanning image forming optical system comprising two or more special tilt surfaces.

Since Aoki et al teaches a scanning image formation optical system (Figure 1) wherein an image forming mirror (reference 7) comprises a special tilt surface (Figure 3), it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to add a special tilt surface to the image forming mirror (reference 7') in addition to the special tilt surface on the optical path bending mirror (reference 8) of the scanning image formation optical system (Figure 9) of Aoki et al in order to provide additional degrees of freedom for correcting aberrations.

Application/Control Number: 10/047,698

Page 4

Art Unit: 2872

Regarding claims 2, 13, and 37, Aoki et al teaches the two or more special tilt surfaces are formed so as to correct a scanning line curvature and a wavefront aberration on the scanned surface (Figure 11).

Regarding claims 3, 14, and 38, Aoki et al teaches the scanning image formation optical system includes two or more optical elements (references 7' and 8), and wherein at least two of the two or more special tilt surfaces are formed on different optical elements of the two or more optical elements (on references 7' and 8).

Regarding claims 4 - 7, 15 - 18, and 39 - 42, Aoki et al teaches a scanning image forming optical system as described above. Aoki et al does not teach that one or more of the two or more optical elements is a lens, but rather that they are mirrors.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to replace one or more of the mirrors containing the special tilt surfaces of Aoki et al with lenses containing special tilt surfaces in order to reduce the vertical dimension of the optical scanner by eliminating the folding of the optical path.

Regarding claim 12, Aoki et al teaches a coupling device (references 2 and 5).

Regarding claim 36, Aoki et al teaches a photosensitive medium (reference 10).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Denise S Allen whose telephone number is (571) 272-2305. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 9:00am - 5:30pm.

Application/Control Number: 10/047,698 Page 5

Art Unit: 2872

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Drew A Dunn can be reached on (571) 272-2312. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Denise S Allen Examiner Art Unit 2872

Ma dsa

> Andrey Chang (Primary Examiner Technology Center 2800